

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/791,178	03/01/2004	Geoffrey C. Stone	134922CNT2	3196	
35114	7590 09/28/20	94	EXAMINER		
ALCATEL INTERNETWORKING, INC.			ELALLAM, AHMED		
ALCATEL-INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PLANO TX 75075			2662		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/791,178	STONE, GEOFFI	REY C.			
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		AHMED ELALLAM	2662				
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or exten Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	IS COMMUNICATIO inder the provisions of 37 CFR ig date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a /e, the maximum statutory per ded period for reply will, by stat than three months after the market	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) latute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	1 March 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application i	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance	with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pe	ending in the applicati	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim	(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are	allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are re	jected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are	•						
8) Claim(s) are su	bject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is obj	ected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ a	accepted or b) Dobjected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not reques	st that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	· · ·	•	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C	` <i>'</i>			
11)☐ The oath or declaration	is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies3. Copies of the ce	None of: Of the priority docume of the priority docume rtified copies of the p	ents have been received. ents have been received i		l Stage			
* See the attached detaile	d Office action for a l	list of the certified copies r	not received.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-			ew Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date			No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/791,178

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,757,286. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

With regard to claims 1 and 7, the difference between claim 1 and 7 of the instant Application and the claims 1 and 18 of the Patent is that claims 1 and 7 do not specify that the tag allocation request(s) contain a logical group identifier.

However, it would have been obvious to an ordinary person of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to eliminate the virtual group identifier parameter from the tag allocation request(s) so that configuring of network connection method can be applied to networks other than V-LAN networks.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,178

Art Unit: 2662

With regard to claims 2-6, 8-12, claims 2-6, 8-12 have similar scope of respective claims 2-7 of the Patent, thus they are subject to the same rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AHMED ELALLAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3097. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kizou Hassan can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHMED ELALLAM Examiner Art Unit 2662 September 22, 2004

JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINER